1	Kathleen E. Brody (SBA 026331) Darrell L. Hill (Bar (SBA 030424)	
2	ACLU Foundation of Arizona 3707 North 7th Street, Suite 235	
4	Phoenix, AZ 85014	STATE & VIN
5	Telephone: 602-650-1854 Email: kbrody@acluaz.org	
6	Email: dhill@acluaz.org	CONTRACT CONTRACTOR
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8		
9	ARIZONA SUPERIOR COURT	
10	MARICOP	PA COUNTY
11		
12	American Civil Liberties Union of	No.: CV 2017-015033
13	Arizona,	VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR
14 15	Plaintiff,	STATUTORY SPECIAL ACTION
16		
17	City of Phoenix Police Department,	
18	Defendant.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
		1

INTRODUCTION

This is a public-records lawsuit against the City of Phoenix Police
Department following its refusal to provide public records concerning events
of great community interest and concern – the large, peaceful protests of
President Trump's rally in downtown Phoenix on August 22, 2017, and the
Phoenix Police Department's violent actions against hundreds of protesters
who had gathered to exercise their free-speech rights.

In the aftermath of the August 22 events, the American Civil Liberties 8 Union of Arizona ("ACLU of Arizona") submitted two public-records requests 9 to the Phoenix Police Department, but the Phoenix Police Department has 10 refused to provide records in response to those requests. The Phoenix Police 11 Department has admitted that it has gathered records responsive to the 12 ACLU of Arizona's requests, and has publicly used those records to refute 13 claims of police malfeasance during the protest. But the Department 14 nevertheless refuses to release the actual records to the ACLU of Arizona, 15 claiming that the ACLU of Arizona (and other public-records requesters) 16 must wait until the Department completes an "after action report," which it 17 "hopes to complete . . . by the end of the year." 18

The Phoenix Police Department's refusal to provide the requested records violates the Arizona Public Records Law and is directly contrary to the primary purpose of the Law, which is to provide for transparency so that the public "may monitor the performance of government officials and their employees." *Phoenix New Times, Inc. v. Keegan,* 201 Ariz. 344, 351 ¶ 33 (App. 2001) (citation omitted). The Department may not under the Public Records Law refuse to promptly release public records in its possession so that the public may monitor its actions, while at the same time using those same records to rebut public criticism of the Department's actions.

26

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

22

23

24

25

26

NATURE OF ACTION, PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

1. This is a statutory special action to compel the production of public records pursuant to the Arizona Public Records Law, A.R.S. § 39-121, *et seq.*

2. Plaintiff is the American Civil Liberties Union of Arizona
("ACLU of Arizona"), a non-profit, civil-rights organization that has
furthered the public interest and defended individual rights in Arizona
through litigation, legislation, public education, and other methods for
decades. Protecting and ensuring freedom of expression is part of the
foundational mission of the ACLU of Arizona's mission.

11 3. Through the public-records requests that are the subject of this 12 litigation, the ACLU of Arizona seeks transparency and public disclosure 13 regarding the Phoenix Police Department's preparations for and conduct 14 during the rally and protests in downtown Phoenix on August 22, 2017. 15 4. Defendant Phoenix Police Department is a public body as 16 defined in A.R.S. § 39-121.01(A)(2) and is subject to the Public Records Law. 17 5. Jurisdiction over this action is proper pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 39-

18 121.02 and 12-123, and Rules 1 and 4 of the Arizona Rules of Procedure for
19 Special Actions.

20 6. Venue is proper pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-401 and Rule 4(b) of the
21 Arizona Rules of Procedure for Special Actions.

1	FACTS		
2	The ACLU of Arizona's Public-Records Requests		
3	7. The ACLU of Arizona has made two public-records requests to		
4	the Phoenix Police Department relating to the Department's preparations		
5	for and conduct during the rally and protests in downtown Phoenix on		
6	August 22, 2017, each seeking different information. The first request was		
7	made on August 28, 2017 (attached as Exhibit A), and the second request		
8	was made on October 6, 2017 (attached as Exhibit C).		
9	8. The Phoenix Police Department has provided no records in		
10	response to either of the ACLU of Arizona's two requests.		
11	9. The ACLU of Arizona sent its August 28, 2017 request to the		
12	Phoenix Police Department's Code Enforcement Unit – Public Records		
13	Office via email and certified mail, and received confirmation that the		
14	Department received the request. See Exhibit B.		
15	10. The August 28, 2017 request sought "[a]ll video recordings of		
16	the August 22, 2017 Trump rally and protests taken or obtained by the		
17	Phoenix Police Department, including: security camera video from		
18	surrounding buildings managed, owned, or operated by the City of Phoenix,		
19	aerial video from any overhead helicopters or drones in the area, and any		
20	video obtained from members of the public." The request explicitly excluded		
21	body camera and dash camera video. See Exhibit A.		
22	11. After receiving no response to the August 28 request, on October		
23	19, 2017, the ACLU of Arizona sent a letter to Phoenix Police Department		
24	Chief Jeri Williams and City of Phoenix Attorney Sandra Hunter. See		
25	Exhibit E.		
26			

1 12. The Phoenix Police Department did not respond to the ACLU of
 2 Arizona's October 19 letter and did not provide any records responsive to the
 3 ACLU of Arizona's August 28 request.

The ACLU of Arizona sent its October 6, 2017 request to the
Phoenix Police Department's Code Enforcement Unit – Public Records
Office, and City of Phoenix Attorney Sandra Hunter, via email and certified
mail, and received confirmation that the Department received the request.
See Exhibit D.

9 14. The October 6, 2017 request sought Phoenix Police Department
10 communications, training materials, reports, weapons inventories, and
11 policies relevant to the events of August 22, 2017. See Exhibit C (with
12 detailed requests).

13
15. On November 15, 2017, the Phoenix Police Department's Code
14
Enforcement Unit sent an email to the ACLU of Arizona with the subject
15
line "REGARDING OCTOBER 6, 2017 TRUMP RALLY." See Exhibit F.

16 16. The Phoenix Police Department's November 15 email indicates
17 that "multiple requesters," including the ACLU of Arizona, have sought
18 records related to events of August 22, 2017.

17. The Phoenix Police Department's November 15 email reads, in
part: "Due to the unique nature of the event, the Phoenix Police Department
has sought and gathered many records for review, with the intention of
creating a comprehensive after action report. . . . The Department hopes to
complete the report by the end of the year."

18. The Phoenix Police Department's November 15 email further indicates that the Department does not intend to provide records in response to the requests submitted by the ACLU of Arizona, or any other

24

25

26

 $\mathbf{5}$

requester, *at least* until after the Department completes its after action
 report.

19. The Phoenix Police Department's November 15 email also 3 indicates that, even after it completes its after action report, it still may not 4 release the requested records. Instead, the email states: "You and the other 5 requesters of records surrounding the August 22nd event may review the 6 after action report and be satisfied with the provided information. However, 7 if you desire additional records after review of the after action report, the 8 City is *open to dialogue concerning supporting records*." (Emphasis 9 added.) 10

11

12

13

23

24

25

26

Background: The Phoenix Trump Protest

20. On August 22, 2017, President Donald Trump held a rally at the Phoenix Convention Center in downtown Phoenix.

21.The Phoenix rally was planned for a week after President 14 Trump's controversial remarks about the violence on August 12, 2017, in 15 Charlottesville, Virginia, when white supremacists clashed with anti-hate 16 demonstrators. In addition, the Phoenix rally approached amid speculation 17 that President Trump planned to pardon former Maricopa County Sheriff 18 Joe Arpaio from his criminal-contempt conviction. Given the public concern 19 about both issues, as well as general disagreement with President Trump's 20 administration and its policies, a large number of protestors was expected 21outside the rally. 22

22. According to the Phoenix Police Department, its officers spent "countless hours" working with community groups and other lawenforcement and public-safety agencies "to plan and prepare for the day's events."

According to Chief Williams, the protest and rally were attended
 by "tens of thousands of people."

3 24. The rally was planned to begin at 7:00 p.m., and people began to
4 gather in downtown Phoenix to attend both the rally and the protest as
5 early as noon.

6 25. The protest was peaceful and largely without incident until
7 approximately 8:30 p.m. when Phoenix Police Department officers located
8 outside the Phoenix Convention Center began using smoke, gas, and impact
9 projectiles to disperse protesters, who still numbered in the hundreds when
10 police officers deployed these weapons against them.

26. The August 22, 2017 rally and protests, and the actions of the
Phoenix Police Department surrounding the events of that day, have been
the subject of tremendous media attention and are matters of grave public
concern.

15 27. In particular, the Phoenix Police Department has been accused
16 of deploying chemical weapons and other dangerous and harmful tactics
17 against people engaged in constitutionally protected expressive activity
18 without warning or cause.

The Phoenix Police Department's Response After the August 22 Protests

28. In the immediate aftermath of the rally and protests, and amid community outrage over the Phoenix Police Department's actions that day, the Department announced that it would conduct an internal review of its actions.

29. On August 31, 2017, Phoenix Police Sergeant Jonathan Howard led a press conference where he presented a thirteen-minute, heavily edited video compilation of the August 22 protests, which Sergeant Howard said

- 23
- 24

19

20

21

22

1 was compiled from "a variety of sources" and would "provide a perspective
2 not yet publicly seen."

3 30. According to Sergeant Howard, the Phoenix Police Department
4 compiled and presented the video to provide the public with a "better
5 understanding" of the events of that day.

6 31. Sergeant Howard began his presentation by claiming that "the
7 night was marred when some people concealing themselves in the crowd
8 began to intentionally create disruption. They began assaulting the officers
9 who were there to protect them. . . . Based on experience, intelligence
10 gathering, on the ground observation, and active acts of violence by some
11 people, police were forced to respond by dispersing the group."

32. The Phoenix Police Department distributed a copy of the video
compilation to those in attendance at the press conference.

33. Sergeant Howard encouraged any person who had video or other
information about the events of August 22 to submit that information to
Phoenix Police Department's Professional Standards Bureau.

34. Sergeant Howard also stated that videos in the Phoenix Police
Department's possession would be available to the media and public via
public-records request.

35. Using the edited video compilation, Sergeant Howard attempted
to make the case that the Phoenix Police Department's actions on August 22
were legal and justified.

36. On September 5, 2017, Phoenix Mayor Greg Stanton and Chief Williams held a meeting, which was attended by representatives from the ACLU of Arizona and other community organizations, as well as Vice Mayor Laura Pastor and other City of Phoenix personnel.

26

23

24

37. At the September 5 meeting, Chief Williams stated that the
 Phoenix Police Department was reviewing available materials regarding the
 events of August 22, including records that would be made public. Chief
 Williams stated that the Phoenix Police Department would complete an
 "after action report" within four to six weeks.

6 38. Chief Williams's statements at the September 5 meeting were
7 consistent with the Phoenix Police Department's Critical Incident
8 Transparency Protocol, announced to Department personnel on July 14,
9 2017, which "contains guidelines for timely and standardized releases of
10 existing video, records, and information following a police critical incident."
11 See Exhibit G.

39. Under the Critical Incident Transparency Protocol, critical
incidents include an "Exceptional use of force" and "Incidents generating
significant community concern."

40. The Critical Incident Transparency Protocol establishes a
thirty-day timeline for the dissemination of all police reports and records
related to critical incidents. In a message that accompanied the adoption of
the Critical Incident Transparency Protocol, Chief Williams stated that "the
sharing of information is critical to ensuring legitimacy with the people we
serve."

Legal Claim and Request for Order to Show Cause

41. Under Arizona law, public records are to be available for public inspection. *See* A.R.S. § 39-121 ("Public records . . . shall be open to inspection by any person at all times during office hours."). The Public Records Law presumes that all records are "open to the public for inspection as public records." *Carlson v. Pima County*, 141 Ariz. 487, 491 (1984).

26

21

22

23

24

25

42. Arizona's Public Records Law mandates prompt compliance
 with public-records requests. See A.R.S. § 39-121.01(D)(1) and (E) (requiring
 that public records be furnished "promptly").

4 43. "Prompt" disclosure means that the Phoenix Police Department
5 must be "quick to act" and "produc[e] the requested records without delay."
6 *Phoenix New Times, L.L.C. v. Arpaio*, 217 Ariz. 533, 538 ¶ 14 (App. 2008)
7 (citation and internal quotation marks omitted).

8 44. Under the Public Records Law, "when records are subject to
9 disclosure the required response is the *prompt* and *actual* production of
10 the documents." *Phoenix New Times*, 217 Ariz. at 538 ¶ 12 (emphasis
11 added).

45. The failure to promptly furnish records constitutes a wrongful denial as a matter of law. *Phoenix New Times*, 217 Ariz. at 538 ¶ 13.

12

13

46. The ACLU of Arizona's August 28, 2017 request has been
pending for more than twelve weeks, and the Phoenix Police Department
has not produced a single responsive record, nor indicated when responsive
records will be forthcoming.

47. The ACLU of Arizona's October 6, 2017 request has been
pending for more than six weeks, and the Phoenix Police Department has
not produced a single responsive record.

48. The Phoenix Police Department's November 15, 2017 email
admits that the Department has gathered records responsive to the ACLU
of Arizona's requests for its own internal review in connection with
preparing an after action report.

49. The Phoenix Police Department's November 15, 2017 email
further indicates that it will not release records responsive to the ACLU of

Arizona's requests *at least* until after its after action report is completed,
 which it "hopes" will "by the end of the year."

3

4

5

6

50. The Phoenix Police Department's November 15, 2017 email also indicates that requested records will not be released even upon completion of the after action report, but that the Department will be "open to dialogue" about the records at that time.

51.The burden is on the Phoenix Police Department to establish 7 that its responses to the ACLU of Arizona's requests are prompt. Where, as 8 here, a public body fails to provide a legally sufficient rationale for its delay 9 in providing the requested records, it has failed to meet its burden and it is 10 deemed to have wrongfully denied access to the public records. *Phoenix New* 11 *Times*, 217 Ariz. at 539-40 ¶ 15; *see also id*. at 541 ¶ 28 ("By offering no 12 legally sufficient reason why 141 days should be considered 'prompt' 13 disclosure of documents that were clearly requested and immediately 14 available, MCSO failed, as a matter of law, to meet its burden of 15 establishing that it did not wrongfully deny the New Times' document 16 request[.]"); *id.* at 545 ¶ 45 ("We cannot find that a document furnished 17 forty-nine days (thirty-four working days) after it was requested was 18 furnished 'promptly' when the only reason for the delay was lack of diligence 19 on the agency's part."). 20

21 22

23

52. By its own admission, the Phoenix Police Department has gathered records responsive to the ACLU of Arizona's requests, but has nevertheless refused to provide them.

24 25 26 53. The Phoenix Police Department's progress (or lack thereof) toward completing an after action report regarding the events of August 22 does not excuse the withholding of relevant public records, particularly when Chief Williams indicated on September 5 that the report would be

completed in four to six weeks, and now the Department has indicated that
 it "hopes" the report will be completed "by the end of the year." See Phoenix
 New Times, 217 Ariz. at 544 ¶ 38 ("An agency may not wait to provide
 records already available until a final report is produced.").

5 54. Nor is completion of an after action report by the Phoenix Police
6 Department an adequate or legal substitute for responding to the ACLU of
7 Arizona's public-records requests by providing the actual records requested.

55."The public's right to know any public document is weighty in 8 itself," and that interest is even greater where, as here, "the public 9 documents are of broad and intense interest." Phoenix Newspapers, Inc. v. 10 Keegan, 201 Ariz. 344, 351 ¶ 30 (App. 2001). The Trump rally, related 11 protests, and related conduct of the Phoenix Police Department were and 12 continue to be the subject of intense media coverage and public interest, 13 which is underscored by the Phoenix Police Department's own August 31 14 press conference and its November 15 email noting "multiple requesters" of 15 records concerning the events of August 22. 16

56. Because of this intense public interest, it is extremely important 17 that the public promptly receives direct access to the records in the 18 possession of the Phoenix Police Department and that it receive them 19 promptly. The public must be able to monitor the actions and performance 20 of the Phoenix Police Department, and the purpose of Arizona's Public 21Records Law is undermined when government officials defer the release of 22 public records to delay or impede scrutiny, while using those same records 23 to deflect criticism and advance the agenda of government officials.

- 24 100 achieve entroising and advance the agenda of government entroise.
 25 26 26 26 public records in response to the ACLU of Arizona's requests violates the Public Records Law. A.R.S. § 39-121, et seq.
 - 12

1 58. The Phoenix Police Department's delay and refusal to provide public records in response to the ACLU of Arizona's requests as described 2 3 above gives rise to a civil action under A.R.S. § 39-121.02(A): "Any person who has requested to examine or copy public records pursuant to this 4 article, and who has been denied access to or the right to copy such records, 5 may appeal the denial through a special action in the superior court, 6 pursuant to the rules of procedure for special actions against the officer or 7 public body." 8

9 59. Because this is a statutory special action, Plaintiff ACLU of
10 Arizona is entitled to a "speedy return date" on its application for an order
11 to show cause. Ariz. R.P. Spec. Action 4(c). See also Ariz. R. Civ. P. 7.3(a)
12 (authorizing a superior court judge to "issue an order requiring a person to
13 show cause why the party applying for the order should not have the relief it
14 requests in its application").

15 60. Upon prevailing in a lawsuit arising out of its public-records
16 requests, the ACLU of Arizona is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and
17 costs. See A.R.S. §§ 39-121.02, 12-348, 12-341, and 12-2030.

RELIEF REQUESTED

Plaintiff ACLU of Arizona respectfully requests that this Court
 provide the following relief on an expedited basis:

18

A. Order the Defendant to show cause why it has failed to perform
 its statutory duty to promptly provide the public records requested by the
 ACLU of Arizona;

B. Order the Defendant to show cause why a preliminary
injunction and declaratory relief should not be granted in favor of the ACLU
of Arizona;

1	C. Order the Defendant to immediately provide copies of the public		
2	records requested by the ACLU of Arizona on August 28 and October 6,		
3	2017;		
4	D. Declare that the Defendant has failed to perform its duty, as		
5	required by law, to promptly provide the public records requested by the		
6	ACLU of Arizona;		
7	E. Order such other and further relief injunctive and declaratory		
8	relief as this Court deems just and proper;		
9	F. Order the Defendant to pay the attorneys' fees and costs		
10	incurred by the ACLU of Arizona in connection with this matter pursuant to		
11	A.R.S. §§ 39-121.02, 12-348, 12-341, and 12-2030, and Rule 4(g) of the		
12	Arizona Rules of Procedure for Special Actions.		
13	Respectfully submitted this 21st day of November, 2017.		
14	ACLU FOUNDATION OF ARIZONA		
15	By/s/Kathleen F Brody		
16	By <u>/s/Kathleen E. Brody</u> Kathleen E. Brody		
17	Darrell L. Hill		
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
	14		

1	Verification	
2	Pursuant to Rule 80(i), Ariz. R. Civ. P., Darrell L. Hill verifies under	
3	penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct:	
4	1. I am a full-time employee of Plaintiff American Civil Liberties	
5	Union of Arizona.	
6	2. I have read the foregoing Complaint and know the contents	
7	thereof.	
8	3. The statements and matters alleged are true of my own	
9	personal knowledge, except as to those matters stated upon information	
10	and belief, and as to such matters, I reasonably believe them to be true.	
11	Dated this 21 st day of November, 2017.	
12	/ <u>s/ Darrell L. Hill</u>	
13	Darrell L. Hill	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
	15	

EXHIBIT A



Via Email and Certified Mail

August 28, 2017

Phoenix Police Department Code Enforcement unit - Public Records 1717 East Grant St. Suite#100 Phoenix, AZ 85034-3401 <u>public.records.ppd@phoenix.gov</u>

Re. Trump Rally Public Records Request

Dear Public Records Officer:

Pursuant to Arizona's public records law, A.R.S. § 39-121 *et seq.*, the ACLU of Arizona requests the right to examine and copy or to be furnished with copies of public records in the possession of the Phoenix Police Department ("PPD"). These records are not sought for any commercial purpose. Nevertheless, we agree to reimburse you for reasonable costs associated with producing the requested records. If the costs will exceed \$100, please let us know <u>before you incur the costs</u>.

If the request is denied in whole or in part, please justify any redactions or withholdings by referencing the specific grounds on which the information is withheld under the Public Records Law. All divisible portions of otherwise exempt material must be produced. We reserve the right to appeal your decision to withhold any information.

We seek the following records in the possession of the PPD:

1. All video recordings of the August 22, 2017 Trump rally and protests taken or obtained by the Phoenix Police Department including: security camera video from surrounding buildings managed, owned, or operated by the City of Phoenix, aerial video from any overhead helicopters or drones in the area, and any video obtained from members of the public. This request <u>excludes</u> body camera and dash camera video.

LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF ARIZONA P.O. BOX 17148 PHOENIX, AZ 85011-0148 P/602.650.1854 F/ 602.650.1376 WWW.ACLUAZ.ORG

AMERICAN CIVIL

Please produce the requested records as they become available. For your convenience, these documents may be emailed to <u>dhill@acluaz.org</u> or mailed to ACLU of Arizona, Attn. Darrell Hill, P.O. Box 17148, Phoenix, Arizona 85013. Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me via email at <u>dhill@acluaz.org</u> or by phone at 602-773-6008. Thank you very much for your attention to this request.

Sincerely,

and Hill

Darrell Hill Staff Attorney, ACLU of Arizona

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF ARIZONA

EXHIBIT B

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
 Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: 	A. Signature A. Signature B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 12 Yes	
Phoenix Police Department Code Enforcement Unit Public Records 1717 East Grant St., Ste. 100 Phoenix, AZ 85034-3401	If YES, enter delivery address below: INO	
9590 9402 3049 7124 0660 26	3. Service Type □ Priority Mail Express® □ Adult Signature □ Registered Mail™ □ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery □ Registered Mail™ □ Certified Mail® □ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery □ Certified Mail® □ Return Receipt for Merchandise □ Collect on Delivery □ Signature Confirmation™	
7016 3560 0000 7422 354		
PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053	Domestic Return Receipt	

2 8 0 21 J

EXHIBIT C



Via Email and Certified Mail

October 6, 2017

Sandra Hunter, Assistant Chief Counsel City of Phoenix Law Department Public Safety Section, PD Legal Unit Phoenix City Hall 200 W. Washington St., 13th Floor Phoenix, AZ 85003 <u>sandra.hunter@phoenix.gov</u>

Phoenix Police Department Code Enforcement Unit - Public Records 1717 East Grant St. Suite#100 Phoenix, AZ 85034-3401 public.records.ppd@phoenix.gov

Re. Trump Rally Public Records Request

Dear Ms. Hunter and Public Records Officer:

The American Civil Liberties Union of Arizona (ACLU), pursuant to Arizona's public records law, A.R.S. § 39-121 *et seq.*, requests the right to examine and copy or to be furnished with copies of public records in the possession of the Phoenix Police Department (PPD). This request is in addition to the enclosed ACLU's August 28, 2017, request that PPD has thus far failed to acknowledge. These records are not sought for any commercial purpose. Nevertheless, we agree to reimburse you for reasonable costs associated with producing the requested records. If the costs will exceed \$100, please let us know <u>before you incur the costs</u>.

Arizona public records law carries with it a presumption that all records are "open to the public for inspection as public records." *Carlson v. Pima Cnty.*, 141 Ariz. 487, 490 (1984). The "core purpose of our public records law is to give the public access to official records and other government information so that [the public] may monitor the performance of government officials and their employees. Thus, the statutes broadly define such records and presume that public records will be disclosed." *Congress Elementary Sch. Dist. No. 17 of Yavapai Cnty. v. Warren*, 227 Ariz. 16, 18 (App. 2011) (citations and internal quotations omitted). "Unlike public information statutes in some other jurisdictions, Arizona's statute specifies that when records are subject to disclosure the required response is the prompt and actual production of the documents." *Phoenix New Times, L.L.C. v. Arpaio*, 217 Ariz. 533, 538 (App. 2008) (emphasis added).

LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF ARIZONA P.O. BOX 17148 PHOENIX, AZ 85011-0148 P/602.650.1854 F/ 602.650.1376 WWW.ACLUAZ.ORG

AMERICAN CIVIL

If this request is denied in whole or in part, please justify any redactions or withholdings by referencing the specific grounds on which the information is withheld under the Public Records Law. All divisible portions of otherwise exempt material must be produced. We reserve the right to appeal your decision to withhold any information.

We seek the following records in the possession of PPD:

- 1. All communications, including emails and text messages, between PPD officers from the Community Response Squad (including the Hispanic Advisory Board) also known as "community liaisons and members of the public concerning the August 22, 2017 Trump rally and protests.
- All communications, including emails and text messages, from command staff to Phoenix police officers concerning the August 22, 2017 Trump rally and protests.
- 3. All communications, including emails and text messages, between Phoenix police officers, agents, and staff and members of the Phoenix city government, including the city manager, the mayor, or any person within the city manager or mayor's office concerning the August 22, 2017 Trump rally and protest.
- 4. All communications, including emails and text messages, between Phoenix police officers, agents, and staff and members of the media concerning the Trump rally and protest on August 22, 2017.
- 5. All communications, including audio recordings, emails, and text messages, between and among members of the PPD on August 22, 2017, from 5:00 PM to 12:00 AM concerning the Trump rally and protest.
- 6. All communications to and from PPD Chief Jeri Williams, including emails and text messages, concerning the August 22, 2017 Trump rally and protests.
- 7. All reports, including incident reports, police reports, arrest reports, and investigative reports, concerning the August 22, 2017 Trump rally and protest.
- 8. All documents, records, reports, orders, and communications concerning the PPD's decisions to: (1) wear riot gear; (2) employ non-lethal weapons and chemical agents; and (3) disperse the crowd and clear the area surrounding the Trump rally and protest.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF ARIZONA

- 9. A complete inventory or list of the types and amounts of nonlethal weapons and chemical agents used by PPD during the Trump rally and protest on August 22, 2017.
- 10. All policies in effect on August 22, 2017, concerning: (1) employing riot gear and other protective gear; (2) employing non-lethal and chemical agents; (3) crowd control and dispersal; and (4) managing public rallies or protests.
- 11. All training, plans, and guidance concerning crowd control offered to PPD officers in preparation for the August 22, 2017 Trump rally and protest. We do not seek general training or guidance that would be provided to officers in the general course of their duties, only material that was provided to officers for this specific event.

Please let us know as soon as possible the time frame in which the records will be produced. We would appreciate receiving records on a rolling basis, if possible. For your convenience, these documents may be emailed to <u>dhill@acluaz.org</u> or mailed to ACLU of Arizona, Attn. Darrell Hill, P.O. Box 17148, Phoenix, Arizona 85011. Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me via email at <u>dhill@acluaz.org</u> or by phone at 602-773-6008. Thank you very much for your prompt attention to this request.

Sincerely,

and Hill

Darrell Hill Staff Attorney, ACLU of Arizona

Enclosure as indicated

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF ARIZONA



Via Email and Certified Mail

August 28, 2017

Phoenix Police Department Code Enforcement unit - Public Records 1717 East Grant St. Suite#100 Phoenix, AZ 85034-3401 <u>public.records.ppd@phoenix.gov</u>

Re. Trump Rally Public Records Request

Dear Public Records Officer:

Pursuant to Arizona's public records law, A.R.S. § 39-121 *et seq.*, the ACLU of Arizona requests the right to examine and copy or to be furnished with copies of public records in the possession of the Phoenix Police Department ("PPD"). These records are not sought for any commercial purpose. Nevertheless, we agree to reimburse you for reasonable costs associated with producing the requested records. If the costs will exceed \$100, please let us know <u>before you incur the costs</u>.

If the request is denied in whole or in part, please justify any redactions or withholdings by referencing the specific grounds on which the information is withheld under the Public Records Law. All divisible portions of otherwise exempt material must be produced. We reserve the right to appeal your decision to withhold any information.

We seek the following records in the possession of the PPD:

1. All video recordings of the August 22, 2017 Trump rally and protests taken or obtained by the Phoenix Police Department including: security camera video from surrounding buildings managed, owned, or operated by the City of Phoenix, aerial video from any overhead helicopters or drones in the area, and any video obtained from members of the public. This request <u>excludes</u> body camera and dash camera video.

LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF ARIZONA P.O. BOX 17148 PHOENIX, AZ 85011-0148 P/602.650.1854 F/ 602.650.1376 WWW.ACLUAZ.ORG

AMERICAN CIVIL

Please produce the requested records as they become available. For your convenience, these documents may be emailed to <u>dhill@acluaz.org</u> or mailed to ACLU of Arizona, Attn. Darrell Hill, P.O. Box 17148, Phoenix, Arizona 85013. Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me via email at <u>dhill@acluaz.org</u> or by phone at 602-773-6008. Thank you very much for your attention to this request.

Sincerely,

and Hill

Darrell Hill Staff Attorney, ACLU of Arizona

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF ARIZONA

EXHIBIT D

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
 Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse 	A. Signature
so that we can return the card to you.	X haven Beatly Addressee
Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.	B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery
1. Article Addressed to:	D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
Phoenix Police Department Code Enforcement Unit Public Records 1717 East Grant St., Ste. 100 Phoenix, AZ 85034-3401	If YES, enter delivery address below: No
9590 9401 0179 5234 0491 06	3. Service Type □ Priority Mail Express® □ Adult Signature □ Registered Mail™ □ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery □ Registered Mail™ □ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery □ Return Receipt for □ Collect on Delivery □ Return Receipt for
	□ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery □ Signature Confirmation™ □ Signature Confirmation
7016 3560 0000 7423 8	LLL tricted Delivery Restricted Delivery
PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053	Domestic Return Receipt
SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
Complete items 1, 2, and 3.	A. Signature
Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.	X WM - OMCANN Addressee
 Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery
1. Article Addressed to:	D. Is delivery address different from item 1? U Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: □ No
Sandra Hunter, Assistant Chief Counsel	

3. Service Type

Adult Signature
 Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
 Certified Mail®

Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
Collect on Delivery
Collect on Delivery
Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
Collect On Delivery

cted Delivery

Priority Mail Express®
 Registered Mail™
 Registered Mail™
 Registered Mail Restricted
 Delivery
 Return Receipt for
 Merchandise
ivery
 Signature Confirmation
 Restricted Delivery

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

City of Phoenix Law Department Public Safety Section PD Legal Unit

200 West Washington St., 13th Floor

7016 2710 0000 8621 2476

Phoenix City Hall

Phoenix, AZ 85003

9590 9401 0179 5234 0490 90 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

Domestic Return Receipt

EXHIBIT E

ALESSANDRA SOLER EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DALE BAICH PRESIDENT



Via Certified Mail and Email

October 19, 2017

Jeri Williams Chief of Police Phoenix Police Department 620 W. Washington St. Phoenix, AZ 85003 Jeri.williams@phoenix.gov

Sandra Hunter City Attorney's Office 200 W. Washington. 13th Floor Phoenix, AZ 85003 <u>sandra.hunter@phoenix.gov</u>

Re: ACLU's August 28, 2017 Records Request for Trump Protest Footage

Dear Chief Williams and Ms. Hunter:

The ACLU of Arizona ("ACLU") writes to demand the immediate release of public records responsive to the ACLU's August 28, 2017 request for video footage of the August 22, 2017 Trump protest. Specifically, the ACLU's August 28 request sought:

All video recordings of the August 22, 2017 Trump rally and protests taken or obtained by the Phoenix Police Department including: security camera video from surrounding buildings managed, owned, or operated by the City of Phoenix, aerial video from any overhead helicopters or drones in the area, and any video obtained from members of the public.

The Phoenix Police Department ("Department") has had ample opportunity to accumulate and review records responsive to the ACLU's request. Unfortunately, the Department has not released responsive records, in violation of Arizona's public records law.

For over 50 days the Department has failed to release public records as required by law despite maintaining responsive records and using them to advance the Department's public position. On August 31, 2017, Phoenix Police Department Sergeant Jonathan Howard gave a heavily edited presentation to members of the media utilizing video the Department obtained of the Trump protest. Sgt. Howard stated the Department was reviewing video from a variety of sources,

LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF ARIZONA P.O. BOX 17148 PHOENIX, AZ 85011-0148 P/602.650.1854 F/ 602.650.1376 WWW.ACLUAZ.ORG

AMERICAN CIVIL

including video from security cameras, the internet and the public. According to Sgt. Howard, the Department had already gathered and examined enough video in late August to present to the public an accurate representation of what occurred during the protest. Sgt. Howard's presentation included video footage from security cameras, the public, and possibly footage created by Department officers or agents. Sgt. Howard stated the public could supply the Phoenix Police Department Professional Bureau with video Standards additional at commandcomplaint@phoenix.gov or by calling the department at 602-262-4580, indicating the Department was already in the process of gathering and sorting relevant video footage. Importantly, Sgt. Howard indicated that videos in the possession of the Department could be obtained via public records request.

Furthermore, in a September 5, 2017 meeting with community leaders and the ACLU, Chief Williams stated the Department was reviewing available materials, including records that would be made public, and would soon complete an "After Action Report" related to the Trump protest and police response. However in the six weeks following that meeting, the Department has failed to make a single video of the Trump protest in its possession available to the ACLU.

The Department's failure to release video responsive to the ACLU's request violates Arizona public records law. When public records are requested, the responsive agency is legally obligated to make those records available without improper delay. "Unlike public information statutes in some other jurisdictions, Arizona's statute specifies that when records are subject to disclosure the required response is the prompt and actual production of the documents." Phoenix New Times, L.L.C. v. Arpaio, 217 Ariz. 533, 538 (App. 2008). The plain language of Arizona public records law requires the custodian of public records to "promptly" furnish records upon request. A.R.S. § 39.121.01(D)(1). Arizona law defines prompt as "quick to act or to do what is required' or 'done, spoken, etc. at once or without delay." W. Valley View, Inc. v. Maricopa Cty. Sheriff's Office, 216 Ariz. 225, 230 (App. 2007). Arizona public records law "further specifies that to the extent the party does not receive a prompt response, '[a]ccess to a public record is deemed denied.'" Phoenix New Times, L.L.C., 217 Ariz. at 538, quoting A.R.S. § 39–121.01(E). "A denial of access to public records is deemed wrongful if the person requesting the records was, in fact, entitled to them." Id.

The purpose of Arizona's public records law is to allow the public to monitor the performance of elected officials and government agencies. *Griffis v. Pinal Cty.*, 215 Ariz. 1, 4 (2007); *Phoenix Newspapers, Inc. v. Keegan*, 201 Ariz. 344, 351 (App. 2001). That purpose is impermissibly hindered if government officials are allowed to improperly defer the release of records to the public to delay or impede scrutiny, while simultaneously using those same records to advance the agenda of government officials.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF ARIZONA The Department has had over 50 days to comply with the ACLU's public records request. As previously described, three days after the ACLU's request, the Department presented video responsive to the ACLU's request to the media. At no time has the Department requested any clarification regarding the ACLU's request or offered any explanation as to why requested records were not released promptly. The Department's response violates Arizona public records law. *See W. Valley View, Inc.*, 216 Ariz. at 230 (holding MCSO's failure to immediately release records that they had access to upon request violated Arizona public records law); *Phoenix New Times*, 217 Ariz. at 538, 545 (holding that a delay of 49 days and 141 days based on the justification of "inattention by the employee of a public body" violated Arizona public records law).

We hope to work amicably to remedy the Department's non-compliance with Arizona public records law, but will pursue litigation if necessary. The Department must immediately release responsive records; there is no justification for continued delay. If there are any questions, please contact me at <u>dhill@acluaz.org</u> or by phone at 602-773-6008.

Sincerely,

A Ki

Darrell Hill Staff Attorney, ACLU of Arizona

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF ARIZONA

EXHIBIT F

Subject: FW: REGARDING OCTOBER 6, 2017 TRUMP RALLY

From: Public Records PPD [mailto:public.records.ppd@phoenix.gov] Sent: Wednesday, November 15, 2017 12:21 PM To: Darrell. Hill Subject: REGARDING OCTOBER 6, 2017 TRUMP RALLY

Public Records Request Status Update:

You are one of multiple requesters seeking all manner of records concerning the Phoenix Police Departments actions in the days surrounding a political rally on August 22, 2017. As you are likely aware, this event was subject to great public attention. Due to the unique nature of the event, the Phoenix Police Department has sought and gathered many records for review, with the intention of creating a comprehensive after action report.

The City of Phoenix values all public records requests and requesters. In processing your request, along with the nearly 80,000 other requests received each year by the Phoenix Police Department, the focus is on the completion of the after action report (to support the police mission). The Department hopes to complete the report by the end of the year. You and the other requesters of records surrounding the August 22nd event may review the after action report and be satisfied with the provided information. However, if you desire additional records after review of the after action report, the City is open to dialogue concerning supporting records.

Public Records, Code Enforcement Unit

Phoenix Police Department

1717 E Grant St Suite 100

Phoenix, AZ 85034

Monday-Friday 8am to 4pm

public.records.ppd@phoenix.gov

p:602.534.1127 f:602.495-0596

"Policing with PRIDE"

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

Visit us online! <u>www.phoenix.gov/police</u>

Follow us on Twitter- <u>www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice</u>

Subscribe to us on YouTube- <u>www.youtube.com/phxpd</u>

EXHIBIT G



Phoenix Police Department Critical Incident Transparency Protocol

This protocol contains guidelines for timely and standardized release of existing video, records, and information following a police critical incident. The protocol is intended to provide a template for consistent, timely and systematic dissemination of accurate, available records and information following a critical incident. Public Information Officers will continue to provide initial, immediate information on all critical incidents.

Critical Incidents:

- Officer Involved shootings
- · Exceptional use of force
- Death or injury of an officer
- In-custody deaths
- Incidents generating significant community concern

Timeline goals for dissemination of information and records following a critical incident:

3 - 7 Days: Name(s) of involved officer(s) will be released to the public

7 - 14 Days: Information and records will be shared with:

- Involved officer(s)
- Valley community leaders
- Union representative
- Community Relations Bureau
- Command staff

۰.,

14 - 30 Days: Information and records will be shared with:

- Involved person's family
- Police department Internal
- Media

30 Days: Police report and related records

Every incident will involve unique circumstances and therefore release timelines may vary.

From: Web Detail <web.detail@phoenix.gov> Date: July 14, 2017 at 11:49:16 MST Subject: ENS - New Transparency Protocol - Message from the Chief

÷

.

:

. :

•

.

New Transparency Protocol

As your Police Chief, I directed the Public Affairs Bureau and Investigations Team to establish a Transparency Protocol* to help us communicate in a fair and consistent manner with our community when we have critical incidents involving your officers. These critical incidents may include police involved shootings, exceptional uses of force incidents, officer death or injury, in-custody deaths, or any other incident generating significant community concern. The sharing of information is critical to ensuring legitimacy with the people we serve. We must balance our need to be transparent with our responsibility to maintain the integrity of investigations while respecting the rights of victims, suspects and others involved.

After much discussion and review, we have established the following guidelines for information sharing following any critical incident. The new protocol will help us achieve higher levels of transparency while still protecting the rights of those involved. As you will see, our new goals for release allow us to share the bulk of information with everyone within 30 days of an incident. This protocol provides a standardized method of sharing information which was one of my goals when becoming Chief.

I appreciate the support and patience of our officers, prosecutors, and community members as we implement this accelerated information release timeline.

God Bless and Be Safe, Jerl Williams

*View attachment for specifics.