

ARIZONA SUPERIOR COURT
PIMA COUNTY

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION OF ARIZONA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHRIS NANOS, in his official capacity
as the duly elected Sheriff of Pima
County,

Defendant.

No. C20254935

RULE 54(c) JUDGMENT

The Court, having issued its June 5, 2026 Under Advisement Ruling and its July 30, 2026 Order, and having read and considered Plaintiff's Application and Supplemental Application for Fees and Costs, and under providing that judgments in a special action shall be in the form of a judgment as in any civil action:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED entering judgment against Defendant under A.R.S. §§ 39-121.01(D)(1) and 39-121.01(E) as to Plaintiff's Claim 1. Defendant violated Arizona Public Records Law by failing to respond promptly to Plaintiff's May 14, 2025 Public Records Request.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Claims 2 and 3 have been resolved by the Parties as of the entry of this judgment.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court retains jurisdiction to enforce this

1 Final Judgment.

2 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED granting in part and denying in part Plaintiff's
3 Application for Fees and Costs, and awarding to Plaintiff its reasonable fees and costs in
4 the total amount of \$72,984.44. Said amount to accrue interest at the statutory rate of
5 7.75%. A.R.S. § 12-823.

6 As no further matters remain pending, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this
7 judgment is entered pursuant to Ariz. R. Civ. P. 54(c) and Rule 10(b), Ariz. R. Proc. Spec.
8 Act.

9
10
11 
12 HON. GREG SAKALL
(ID: faf00088-6229-45c4-ad2f-b868e7d812d8)

13
14 cc: Clerk of Court – Civil Judgments

15
16 **COURT NOTICE**
17 **THE ORIGINAL FILER MUST SERVE A COPY OF THIS ORDER/NOTICE/JUDGMENT**
18 **ON ALL PARTIES HAVING APPEARED IN THIS CASE.**
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28