U.S. Department of Justice<br>Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch

| Via U.S. Mail: | Via Courier: |
| :--- | :--- |
| P.O. Box 883 | 20 Massachusetts Ave. NW |
| Washington, DC 20044 | Washington, DC 20001 |
| Tel: (202) 514-3338 | eric.beckenhauer@usdoj.gov |
| Fax: (202) 616-8470 |  |


| Eric B. Beckenhauer | Tel: (202) 514-3338 | eric.beckenhauer@usdoj.gov |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Trial Attorney | Fax: (202) 616-8470 |  |

September 24, 2014
VIA E-MAIL

Daniel Joseph Pochoda
James Duff Lyall
ACLU Foundation of Arizona
P.O. Box 17148

Phoenix, AZ 85011
dpochoda@acluaz.org
jlyall@acluaz.org
Derek E. Bambauer
Jane Yakowitz Bambauer
479 E. Historic Street
Tucson, AZ 85701
derekbambauer@email.arizona.edu
janebambauer@email.arizona.edu
Re: ACLU Found. of Ariz. v. DHS, No. 14-2052 (D. Ariz.)
Counsel:

Enclosed please find additional records that U.S. Customs and Border Protection has determined to release in response to the FOIA requests submitted on January 23, 2014, by Plaintiffs in the above-referenced case.

If you have any questions about the enclosed materials, please contact me at (202) 5143338.

Sincerely,

Eric B. Beckenhauer


On February 18, 2014 I was asked to write a memo about a citizen complaint that took place b7E in the secondary inspection area on November 21, 2013. To the best of my knowledge I don't recall this secondary inspection incident.
$\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b(3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) Any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) The employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and



Border Patrol Agent: $\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b (3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting, which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and
(ii) the employee requests representation.'


Supervisor Supplying Notice:


February 3， 2014
化的 8 OTOR

## MEMORANDUM FOR： 06

Chief Patrol Agent
Yuma Sector
FROM：

## b6 b6

Border Patrol Agent
Blythe Station
SUBJECT：
Citizens Complaint
On February 3， 2014 I was asked to write a memo on any information pertaining to $\mathrm{D6}$ b6 s brief detention and vehicle＿search on November 21，2013．I was assigned to work the primary vehicle lanes D7E however；I do not recall D6 vehicle and can offer no information．

Date and Time: $\qquad$ Border Patrol Agent: $\qquad$ b6

Under Article 31, Subsection b (3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting, which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and
(ii) the employee requests representation.'

Employee's Signature:


Union Representative : $\qquad$

Supervisor Supplying Notice:



Date and Time: $\qquad$
Border Patrol Agent: $\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b (3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting, which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and
(ii) the employee requests representation.'

Employee's Signature:


Union Representative : $\qquad$



SUBJECT:
Citize Complaint
On Thursday November 21, 2013, 1 was assigned to transporting and processing duties b7E D7E At this time I do not remember having any interaction with the vehicle or subject identified as D6

Date and Time: $\qquad$
Border Patrol Agent: $\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b (3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting, which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and


February 4, 2014

MEMORANDUM FOR:


Border Patrol Agent
Blythe Station
SUBJECT:
Citizen Complaint
On November 21, 2013, I was assigned traffic check duties D7E At this
time I I do not recall having any contact with a white 2004 Dodge Sprinter Van or ab6 b6

Date and Time: $\qquad$
Border Patrol Agent: $\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b (3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting, which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and
(ii) the emolovee reamests renresentation,


Union Representative : $\qquad$

| RECEIVED |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| b6 | $2015 \mathrm{FE} 19 \mathrm{Al} 11: 07$ |
| February 3, 2014 | TU A SETOR |
| MEMORANDUM FOR: | b6 <br> Chief Patrol Agent Yuma Sector |
| FROM: | b6 |
|  | Border Patrol Agent Blythe Station |
| SUBJECT: | Citizens Complaint |

On February 4, 2014. I was asked to write a memo about a citizen complaint that took place b7E in the secondary inspection area on November 21, 2013. To the best of my knowledge I don't recall this secondary inspection incident.

Date and Time: $\qquad$
Border Patrol Agent: $\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b (3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting, which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and
(ii) the employee requests representation.'


Supervisor Supplying Notice:


|  | RECEIVED | 16870 W. Hobsonway Blythe, California 92225 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (3) ${ }^{9}$ U.S. Customs and |
| b6 | 2014FEB19 AW11:07 | (1) |

February 3, 2014

MEMORANDUM FOR:


Border Patrol Agent
Blythe Station

SUBJECT:
Citizen Complaint
On November 21, 2013, I was assigned to work at the Border Patrol checkpoint located on Interstate 10 westbound near Ehrenberg, Arizonab7E I have no recollection of encountering a subject named D6 or searching a Dodge Sprinter van.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ b6

Under Article 31, Subsection b (3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting, which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and
(ii) the employee requests representation.'

Employee's Signature:


Union Representative : $\qquad$


February 3, 2014

MEMORANDUM FOR:

b6<br>Chief Patrol Agent<br>Yuma Sector

FROM:

## b6

Border Patrol Agent
Blythe Station
SUBJECT:
Citizen Complaint

On Novemher 21.2013 at approximately 10:00 a.m., I was assigned to the vehicle inspection lanes b7E located on I-10 westbound near Ehrenberg, Arizona. Icannot recall searching a 2004 white Dodge Sprinter Van or remember the driver of the van, D6

Date and Time: $\qquad$
Border Patrol Agent: $\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b (3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting, which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and
(ii) the employee requests representation.'

## Employee's Signature:

Union Representative : $\qquad$


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| RECEVED | 16870 W. Hobson Way <br> B6 <br> Blythe, CA 92225 |
| U.S. Customs and |  |

February 3, 2014


MEMORANDUM FOR:

## b6

Chief Patrol Agent
Yuma Sector
FROM:


SUBJECT: Complaint of Missing Items.
On November 21, 2013, at approximately 10:00am, a 2004 Dodge Sprinter van white in color claims to have traveled through the I-10 Temporary Checkpoint. The driver identified as b6 b6 claims he was sent to secondary and his vehicle searched. Subject claims a few days later he noticed a white bag containing metric sockets, a wrench, and extensions was missing. Supervisory Border patrol Agent b6 requested that I write this memo. I was assigned to the commercial vehicle lanes during this day of the I-10 Temporary Checkpoint. The commercial vehicle lanes have very little interaction with passenger vehicles. I do not recall anything in relation to the event described by the subject.

Border Patrol Agent: $\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b (3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting, which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and
(ii) the employee requests representation.'

Employee's Signature:


Union Representative : $\qquad$

Supervisor Supplying Notice:

RECENED
2015FEB 19 AM11: 07
February 3, 2014
MEMORANDUM FOR:
FROM:
b6
Chief Patrol Agent
Yuma Sector
b6
Border Patrol Agent
Blythe Station
SUBJECT:
Citizens Complaint

16870 W. Hobson Way Blythe, CA 92225

On February 3, 2014 I was asked to write a memo on any information pertaining to b6
b6 is brief detention and vehicle search. b6 inspection on November 21, 2013b7E
claims that he was sent to secondary at approximately 10:00 a.m.. b6
D6 claims that while in secondary, agents took his belongings out of his 2004 Dodge Sprinter Van and searched his vehicle. A few days after the encounter he noticed some of his property was missing. I was assigned to work the primary vehicle lanes D7E however; I do not recall b6 $\quad$ or his vehicle and can offer no information.
$\qquad$
Border Patrol Agent: $\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b (3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting, which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and


Union Representative : $\qquad$


## D7E Daily Unit Assignment Log Summary, Group D7E

Office: Blythe Station
Schedule: Thursday, November 21, 2013


[^0]Office: Blythe Station
Schedule: Thursday, November 21, 2013
Zone Assignment 1 Fleet\# Star\# Agent Name $\quad$ Shift

## Department of Homeland Security, Customs and Border Protection <br> Sensitive But Unclassified

## $20130 C T 22$ AM 10: 43

October 21, 2013

MEMORANDUM FOR:

FROM:


Border Patrol Agent Yuma Station

SUBJECT: b6,b7C

On October 21, 2013, I, Border Patrol Agent (BPA) b6,b7C was assigned to traffic checkpoint duties with my assigned Border Patrol canineb6,b7C at the United States Border Patrol 1153 checkpoint on Highway 95 milepost 76.

At approximately 0450 hours, a white Chevrolet pickup approached the checkpoint. As I approached the truck to conduct an exterior sniff of the truck I recognized the driver (identified as

b7E
b6,b7C,b7E
b7E
For the first few weeks I was on midnights, I was always conducting a secondary search of another vehicle when $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ came through the point. Thus, I never conducted an exterior sniff of his truck.

When I finally did conduct an exterior sniff of $b 6, b 7 C$ s truck I discovered how aggressive his dog was. $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ had his dog loose in the back of his truck. I took my canine $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}_{\text {around }}$ the truck, making sure he was always safe, but I could not conduct a proper sniff of the vehicle because it was unsafe to do so with $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ s dog in the back of the truck. I recommended to $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ that he secure his dog inside his truck when he passed through the checkpoint. This would be for safer for his dog, for my dog, and the Agents at the checkpoint. I informed b6,b7C that I would use deadly force against his dog to protect myself, the other Agents, and the public.

The next time I sawb6,b7C come through the checkpoint, he had his dog inside the cab of the truck with him. I conducted an exterior sniff of the truck and I told D6,D7C that I appreciated him putting his dog inside the truck. $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ was allowed to proceed.

The following time I encountered $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ his dog was in the back of the truck, but he had now tied it to the truck. b7E
b7E On this occasionb6,b7C s dog was still aggressive, but he stayed on the driver side of the truck focused on the primary agent while I conducted an exterior sniff of the rest of the truck.

Today, October 21, 2013, was the next time I encountered b6,b7C again had his dog tied in the back of his truck. As I approached the truck to conduct an exterior sniff, the dog became very agitated and began to bark angrily at me. I went to the passenger side window and told $b 6, b 7 C$ to park his truck in secondary and get his dog out of the truck. $b 6, b 7 C$ went to the secondary area and removed his dog from the truck. I conducted an exterior sniff of the truck and informed $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ that he was free to go. b6,b7C stated that he thought I was harassing him and that he didn't know why. I told $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ that I needed to make sure that his truck was clear and that I could not do that with his dog in the back of the truck aggressively barking and trying to attack me. $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ stated that he wanted to talk to a supervisor about me. $\mathrm{BPA} \mathrm{b6}, \mathrm{b7} \mathrm{C}_{\text {gave }}$ $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ the number to the Blythe, CA Border Patrol Station. $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ left the checkpoint with no further incident.

## Border Patrol Agent: <br> $\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b(3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and
(ii) the employee requests representation.'

Employee's Signature:


Union Representative : $\qquad$

Supervisor Supplying Notice:


October 21, 2013

MEMORANDUM FOR:
b6,b7C
Chief Patrol Agent

FROM:


SUBJECT:
Citizen Complaint
On October 21, 2013, I, Border Patrol Agent $\sqrt{\mathbf{6 6 , b 7 C}}$ was assigned traffic check duties at the 1153 checkpoint on Highway 95 Northbound mile marker 76. At approximately 04:50 am, I was working secondary duties, when a white pickup truck (being driven by b6,b7C pulled into the primary inspection lane. Agent ${ }^{\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}}$ conducted an Immigration Inspection on b6,b7C Once finished with his inspection, Border Patrol Agentb6,b7C referred to the secondary inspection area.

In the secondary inspection area $b 6, b 7 C$ exited the vehicle removing a dog that he had tied to the bed of the truck. D6,b7C took a seat on the steps of the checkpoint holding his dog. As Agent b6,b7C conducted a sniff of the vehicle, b6,b7C stated he had a problem with Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ stating he was the only person who ever gave him trouble with his dog. $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ stated a week before Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}_{\text {was }}$ unprofessional and said he would shoot 's dog for safety reasons. D6,b7C said he wished to file a complaint, and Agent $b 6, b 7 C$ gave $b 6, b 7 C$ the Blythe Border Patrol Station phone number and told him to speak with a supervisor. $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ then left the checkpoint without further incident.
$\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b(3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and
(ii) the employee requests representation.'


Union Representative : $\qquad$

Supervisor Supplying Notice:


October 21, 2013

MEMORANDUM FOR:
b6,b7C
Chief Patrol Agent
Yuma Sector
FROM:
b6,b7C
Border Patrol Agent
Blythe Station

## SUBJECT:

1153 Checkpoint Incident (b6,b7C)
On October 21, 2013, I was assigned to work at the 1153 Checkpoint located at mile marker 76 on Arizona Highway 95 near Quartzsite, Arizona. At approximately 0450 HRS I was inside the checkpoint trailer eating my dinner when a white Chevrolet pickup approached the primary lane of the checkpoint. I observed through the window as Border Patrol Agent (BPA) b6,b7C conducted an immigration inspection on the vehicle's sole occupant while BPA $\mathrm{B} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ and his $\mathrm{K} 9, \mathrm{~b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ conducted a sniff around the exterior of the pickup. As BPA ${ }^{\mathrm{b} 6, b 7 C_{\text {was }}}$ conducting his sniff, a dog tied into the bed of the pickup started barking at $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ I then saw BPA $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}_{\text {refer }}$ the pickup to secondary.

By the time I got to the secondary lane, the driver of the pickup, later identified as b6,b7C b6,b7C was sitting on the stairs in the secondary area and he had a hold of his dog by its collar. BPA ${ }^{\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}}$ and $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ were discussing the placement of $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$,s dog in the bed of the pickup. $b 6, b 7 C$ stated that $B P A{ }^{\mathrm{b} 6, b 7 C}$ was very unprofessional. $b 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ then informed BPA $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ that he wanted to file a formal complaint. BPA ${ }^{\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}}$ supplied b6,b7C with the information he needed to file a complaint.

At 0530 HRS Supervisory Border Patrol Agent (SBPA) ${ }^{\text {b6,b7C }}$ called the checkpoint and informed us that b6,b7C had called Blythe Station to file a complaint and that all agents had to write memos detailing the incident.
$\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b(3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and
(ii) the employee requests representation.'


Supervisor Supplying Notice:


October 21, 2013

On October 21, 2013, I Border Patrol Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ was assigned to traffic check duties at the 1153 checkpoint on highway 95, located at mile marker 76 near Quartzsite, Arizona. At approximately 0450 am . I was working primary inspection duties when a white pickup truck (being driven by b6,b7C pulled into the primary inspection lane. As I was conducting an immigration inspection on the driver, U.S. Border Patrol Canine Handlerb6,b7C instructed $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ to pull into the secondary inspection area.

While in the secondary inspection area, b6,b7C told me that he was upset that he had been sent to secondary and that he wanted to file a complaint as he felt that canine handler ${ }^{\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}}$ had an issue with him. $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ stated that $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}_{\text {had sent him to }}$ the secondary inspection area before and had threatened to shoot $b 6, b 7 C$ 's dog. $b 6, b 7 C$ also stated that $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ was very unprofessional. I gave him my name and the canine handlers name and informed him that I was the Agent in charge and that he could call the station and file his complaint. I then gave him the station number and said he could call the station at any time and ask for the administrative supervisor.
b6,b7C said "ok" and proceeded to leave without further incident.

Date and Time: 10/21/2013 0901 hours
Border Patrol Agent: $\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b(3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and


Supervisor Supplying Notice:


May 23, 2013

MEMORANDUM FOR:

FROM:

b6
Supervisory Border Patrol Agent
Blythe Station

SUBJECT:
Citizen Complaint
On May 23, 2013, at approximately 5:30 p.m L was assigned supervisory duties when I received a telephonic call from $\mathrm{D} 6 \quad \mathrm{DOB}$ : $\mathrm{D} 6 \quad$ stating that he wanted to report damage done to his vehicle by Border Patrol Agents at the Highway 78 checkpoint near Palo Verde, California.

D6 stated he was not present during the encounter, but that the vehicle belongs to him and he recently purchased it. b 6 stated his son, $\mathrm{b6}$ DOB:b6 went to Blythe, California from El Centro, California on May 23, 2013, for work purposes. b6 claimed at approximately 12:00 p.m., his son was sent to secondary inspection by agents at the Border Patrol Checkpoint on Highway 78. D6 stated that his vehicle, a red 2003 Cadillac SLS, was scratched in the quarter panels and doors. b6 stated he understands Border Patrol has a job to do, but that Border Patrol damaged his vehicle during that inspection.

When asked if he wanted to file a complaint for unprofessionalism, b6 stated he just wanted to get the scratches on the vehicle fixed and that his son did not claim the agents were disrespectful or unprofessional.

Memorandums will be requested from the agents b6 and b6 assigned to the Highway 78 checkpoint during the claimed encounter. Record checks conducted on both subjects did not reveal any derogatory information.

A claim for Damage, Injury or Death (SF-95) form was mailed to D6
All documentation was forwarded to Field Operations Supervisor D6

## b6,b7C

Chief Patrol Agent Yuma Sector

FROM:

SUBJECT:

Complaint at 1151 Immigration Checkpoint
On May 23, 2013 at approximately 12:30 p.m., a red Cadillac approached the 1151 immigration checkpoint on highway 78, mile maker 56.6. I identified myself as a United States Border Patrol Agent, and started my immigration inspection. The driver stated he was ab6,b7C b7E The driver was unable to answer any questions regarding where he was traveling to or whom the vehicle belonged to. The driver also was unable to produce any form of picture identification. Based on my observations, Lrequested consent to conduct an exterior canine sniff of his vehicle with my service canine ${ }^{\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}}$ b6,b7C The driver replied, "Yes."

I referred the driver to the secondary inspection area. Once in secondary I asked the driver to step out of the vehicle. At this time I started a non-intrusive canine sniff on the front passenger side bumper. As I conducted the sniff, the canine was never instructed to search high on the vehicle. ${ }^{\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}}$ s paws did not come off the ground any higher than necessary to walk, at any time during the search. During the non-intrusive canine sniff, ${ }^{\text {b6,b7C }}$ alerted to the back fender on the driver side of the vehicle.

Records checks were requested from Yuma Sector Communications D7E on both the driver and vehicle. The driver came back with negative results. Records checks on the vehicle returned and it was determined that it was registered to another individual; not the driver or his father, as the driver had previously stated during questioning. When questioned about the registered owner, the driver stated that he did not know who the individual was.

A search of the vehicle was conducted and the vehicle was scanned with the ZBV Backscatter, however no contraband was found. The driver and vehicle were released without further incident.

On May 24, 2013 I was informed that a complaint had been made regarding the aforementioned vehicle. I was instructed to write this memo per Supervisory Border Patrol Agentb6,b7C
$\qquad$ 06

Under Article 31, Subsection b(3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) Any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) The employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and
(ii) The employee requests representation.'
Union Representative : $\qquad$


May 29, 2013

MEMORANDUM FOR:

FROM:


SUBJECT:
Citizen's Complaint at 1151 Checkpoint
On May 23, 2013, Border Patrol Agents D6,b7C and I, b6,b7C were assigned to the United States Border Patrol 1151 Checkpoint located on California Highway 78 at mile marker 56.6. At approximately $12: 45$ p.m., Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ was working the primary inspection lane and I was working secondary inspection when she sent a red Cadillac sedan into secondary inspection. I proceeded to the secondary inspection area and conducted records checks on the subject and the vehicle. After conducting records checks, I began to start/warm up the checkpoint's Backscatter truck in order to utilize it for use inspecting the vehicle in secondary inspection. I did not participate in the physical search of the vehicle. I did not witness any Border Patrol Agents act in a rough manner with the vehicle nor did I witness any agents damage the vehicle.

Border Patrol Agent: $\qquad$ b6

Under Article 31, Subsection b(3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) Any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) The employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and
(ii) The employee requests representation.'


Union Representative: $\qquad$


## b6,b7C

June 2, 2013
MEMORANDUM FOR: b6,b7C
Chief Patrol Agent
Yuma Sector

FROM:
b6,b7C
Border Patrol Agent
Blythe Station


SUBJECT:
Checkpoint Incident
I was told by Supervisory Border Patrol Agent $\mathrm{b6}, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ to submit this memorandum. On Thursday, May 23.2013. I was assigned to the 1151 checkpoint located on highway 78. Border Patrol Agent b6,b7C was the K9 Agent on duty. Also on duty this day was Border Patrol Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$. There was a K9 alert and I took over primary. Soon thereafter the vehicle in question was released. I do not recall specific details surrounding this particular incident.
$\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b(3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) Any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) The employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and



Department of Homeland Security
Bureau of Customs and Border Protection

EMPLOYEE: (person taking information)


CITIZEN COMPLAINTANT: (ASK, but not required)
Name: b6

Address:
b6
Phone:

## b6

RELEVANT INFORMATION: (criminal activity to report)
OFFICER RELATED COMPLAINT:
Name of Officer(s): D6
Date/Time of Incident: $\qquad$ 17:46 HRS
Location of Incident: Rd/Hwy $\qquad$ Milepost $\qquad$ Community $\qquad$
Landmark(s): 1151 Checkpoint
Description of Incident: D6 stated he was not oresent during the enfounter but that vehicle belongs to him and he had recently purchased it. b6 stated his son, b6 DOB: D6 went to Blythe, CA from El Centro, CA on May 23,2013 for work purposes. b6 claimed at approximately 1200 hours his son was sent to secondary inspection by agents at the Border Patrol checkpoint on HWY 78. D6 stated that his vehicle a red 2003 Cadillac SLS was scratched in the quarter panels and doors. b6 stated he understands Border Patrol has a job to do, but that Border Patrol damaned his vehicle during that inspection. When asked if he wanted to file a complaint for unprofessionalism, b6 stated he just wanted to get to scratches on the vehicle fixed and that his son did not claimed the agents were disrespectful or unprofessional.
Number of Officer $\quad 3$

Location of Incident: Rd/Hwy HWY 78
Milepost: 56.6
Community: 1151

Landmark(s): 1151 Checkpoint

Description of Incident:


## Other Agencies

IMMEDIAT E ACTION TAKEN: $\quad \square$ NONE $\quad \overline{\mathbf{X}}$ YES (explain)

Memoradums are being requested, SF-95 form will be mailed.
AFTER ACTION: $\square$ NONE $\quad \mathbf{X}$ YES (explain)
d

Completed by:
(name) Date/Time
b7E Daily Unit Assignment Log Summary, Group b7E
Office: Blythe Station
Schedule: Thursday, May 23, 2013

| Zone | Assignment | Fleet\# | Star \# Agent Name | Shift | Time | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | b2,b6,b7C,b7E

Department of Homeland Security, Customs and Border Protection
Sensitive But Unclassified

January 9, 2013

MEMORANDUM FOR:

FROM:


On December 6, 2012 at approximately 6:15 p.m., I received a telephone call from $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ a citizen wanting to make a complaint.
b6,b7C stated that on December 3, 2012 at approximately 2:00 a.m. he was sent to secondary inspection due to immigration purposes at the Border Patrol_Checkpoint located in California Highway 78 south of Palo Verde, California. b6, b7C stated he felt he did not need to go to secondary inspection as he is a b6,b7C from San Diego, California to Prescott. Arizona via Highway 78 for work purposes and that he resides in b6,b7C
 claims he felt the agents working the checkpoint asked him too many questions and made him feel like a criminal. When asked if the agents were disrespectful or unprofessional. $\mathrm{b} 6 . \mathrm{b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ stated he was asked the same questions over and over again and that he felt as ab6, b7C immigration inspections. $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ could not give specific examples on how the agents were disrespectful.

Weingarten Articles 31 h were issued to all agents involved in this incident. Memoranda were collected from Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$. Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ and Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ Record checks on $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ confirmed he is a $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ with no derogatory criminal record.

All documentation was forwarded to FOS
b6,b7C
CC: Patrol Agent in Charge, Blythe Station

December 13, 2012

MEMORANDUM FOR:

FROM:

## b6,b7C

Patrol Agent in Charge
Blythe Station


SUBJECT:
Complaint at the 1151 Checkpoint
On December 2, 2012, Border Patrol Agents D6,b7C and I were assigned to traffic check duties at the 1151 checkpoint on highway 78 , located at mile marker 56 near Palo Verde, California. While working the primary inspection lane I encountered a vehicle that had come to the checkpoint and came to a complete stop. I identified myself as a United States Border Patrol Agent and conducted an immigration inspection with the driver of the vehicle. The gentlemen stated that he was ab6,b7C I sent the vehicle to secondary inspection to verify the gentlemen's immigration status.

At secondary inspection I requested record checks through Yuma Sector Radio Communications D7E while Agent $\mathrm{b6}, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}_{\text {talked to the }}$ the gentlemen. The gentlemen was upset that he was secondary and I told him that I needed to verify his immigration status and that then if record checks confirmed his claim he would be released without further incident. As I was waiting for record checks to return. Agent $\mathrm{b6}, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}_{\text {was standing }}$ by with the gentlemen. $\mathrm{b} 7 \mathrm{E}_{\text {revealed that the }}$ driver was indeed a $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ and was released. The gentlemen requested our supervisors contact information in order to file a complaint. Agent ${ }^{\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}}$ gave the gentlemen the necessary information to call in a complaint and he left the checkpoint.

Date and Time: December 13,2012 \& 1815 Hovr
Border Patrol Agent: $\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b(3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and
(ii) the employee requests representation.,


Union Representative : $\qquad$

Supervisor Supplying Notice: $\qquad$

December 11, 2012

MEMORANDUM FOR:

FROM:
b6,b7C
Patrol Agent In Charge
Blythe Station
b6,b7C
Border Patrol Agent
Blythe Station
SUBJECT: Complaint at the 1151 Checkpoint
On December 2, 2012, Border Patrol Agents
b6,b7C
and I were assigned to traffic check duties at the 1151 checkpoint on highway 78, located at mile marker 56 near Palo Verde, California. Sometime during the shift, I was sitting inside the checkpoint trailer when I was notified by the agents working the lane that they had a vehicle in the secondary inspection area.

When I went outside to assist agents $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{b6,b7C}$ the gentleman that was in the secondary inspection area told me that he was upset that he had been sent to secondary and that he wanted to file a complaint. I gave him my name and informed him that I was the Agent in charge and that he could call the station and file his complaint. I then gave him the station number and said he could call the station in the morning. The gentleman said ok and then left.

Date and Time: $\qquad$
Border Patrol Agent: $\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b(3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and

Employee's Signature:
(ii) the emnlovee reamests renresentation.'

Union Representative :


Supervisor Supplying Notice:


December 11, 2012

MEMORANDUM FOR: b6,b7C
Patrol Agent In Charge
Blythe, California
FROM:
b6,b7C
Border Patrol Agent Blythe, California

SUBJECT:
On December 2, 2012, Border Patrol Agentsb6,b7C and I were assigned to traffic check duties at the 1151 Checkpoint on Highway 78, located at mile marker 56 near Palo Verde, California. At some point late in the evening, Agent $\mathrm{D6}, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ was the primary agent checking traffic and I was the supporting secondary agent, when a vehicle approached the checkpoint and drove up to our position.

Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ performed an immigration inspection on the driver and then directed him to the secondary inspection area. In the secondary inspection area, I requested that the driver step out of the car and asked him to sit in the designated area for subjects in secondary. At this point the driver complained that he was being singled out and profiled. I explained to him that this was not the case.

While this was happening, I requested the subject's driver's license and ran records checks on the individual through Yuma Sector Communications to determine if he had any immigration or criminal history. The driver's records revealed that he was a b6,b7C citizen. I don't recall if he had any criminal history.

The driver asked me why some of the other vehicles passing through the checkpoint were not sent to secondary after being inspected. I told him that the purpose of the checkpoint is to determine citizenship or immigration status and we don't send every vehicle that comes to the checkpoint to secondary. Although I could not smell alcohol, the driver spoke with noticeably slurred speech. I asked him, in English, if he had anything to drink today. He responded that he had not.

After affirming that he was a $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ citizen, we released him. The driver reiterated that he wanted to file a complaint. Following this, Agent $\mathrm{b6}$,b7C provided the driver with our names and the phone number to the Blythe Border Patrol Station. From first contact with the driver in the primary lane to his release was approximately 15-20 minutes.

Date and Time:


Border Patrol Agent: $\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b(3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and
(ii) the employee requests representation.'


Supervisor Supplying Notice:


EMPLOYEE: (person taking information)


CITIZEN COMPLAINTANT: (ASK, but not required)

## Name:

Address:
Phone: b6

RELEVANT INFORMATION: (criminal activity to report)
OFFICER RELATED COMPLAINT:
Name of Officer(s): BPA D6 BPA b6 BPA D6
Date/Time of Incident: $\qquad$ 18:21 HRS
Location of Incident: Rd/Hwy HWY 78 Milepost 56.6 Community 1151 Checkpoint

Landmark(s): 1151 Checkpoint
Description of Incident: D6
states on Mondav December 03, 2012 at anoroximately 0200 hours he was secondaried at the 1151 checkpoint after claiming to be ab6 citizen. b6 states he was coming from San Diego, CA to Prescott, AZ via HWY 78 for work purposes and that he resides in 66 b6 claims he felt the agents working the checkpoint asked him too manv questions and made him feel like a criminal. When asked if the agents were disrespectful or unprofessional, b6 stated he was asked the same questions over and over again and that he felt as ab6 citizen he did not need to go through secondar immigration inspections. b6 could not give specific examples on how the agents were disrespectful. b6 stated he wanted to file a formal complaint.

Number of Officer 3
Date/Time of Incident: 2012-12-03 02:00:00.0
Milepost: $\underline{56.6}$
Community: 1151 Checkpoint
Location of Incident: Rd/Hwy HWY 78
$\underline{ }$

Landmark(s): 1151 Checkpoint

Description of Incident:
D6
Checkpoint after claiming to be a b6

Other Agencies
N/A

| IMMEDIAT E ACTION TAKEN: $\quad \square$ NONE $\quad \square$ YES (explain) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

31b Weingarten forms were issued to all agents involved and memorandums were requested.
AFTER ACTION: $\square$ NONE $\quad$ YES (explain)
$31 b$ Weingarten forms were issued to all agents involved and memorandums were requested.

Completed by:
(name) Date/Time

Yuma Sector Complaint Report Form

Report Number:
Station:

EMPLOYEE: (person taking information)
Name: $\qquad$ Star Number: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$ Time: $\qquad$
Method Report Received:In person
Phone Other $\qquad$
CITIZEN COMPLAINTANT: (ASK, but not required)
Name:
Address:
Phone:

RELEVANT INFORMATION: (criminal activity to report)

## OFFICER RELATED COMPLAINT:

Name of Officer(s):
Date/Time of Incident:
Location of Incident: $\quad \mathrm{Rd} / \mathrm{Hwy}$
Milepost $\qquad$ Community $\qquad$
Landmark(s):
Description of Incident:

STATION RELATED COMPLAINT:
Number of Officer Involved: $\qquad$ Date/Time of Incident: $\qquad$
Location of Incident: Milepost $\qquad$ Community $\qquad$
Landmark(s)
Description of Incident

Other AGENCIES involved: $\qquad$
IMMEDIATE ACTION TAKEN: $\square$ NONE $\square$ YES (explain)

| AFTER ACTION: | $\square$ NONE | $\square$ YES | (explain) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Completed by: $\qquad$
U.S. Customs and Border Protection

May 2, 2012
b6,b7C
Chief Patrol Agent
Y6,b7c
(A) Patrol Agent In Charge

Blythe Station

## Citizen Complaint at 1151 Checkpoint

On April 27, 2012, at approximately 1400 hours, SBPA b6,b7C took a call from b6,b7C $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ stating that her sons are harassed every time they go through the 1151 Checkpoint near Palo Verde, CA. She stated that two Mac Books may have been damaged during a search on the date stated above.
b6,b7C stopped by the station to pick a Standard Form 95. She checked the Mac Books and they were not damaged.
b6,b7C $\quad$ was not at the scene with her sons, ${ }^{\text {amm }}$ year old $b 6, b 7 C \quad$ and ${ }^{\text {manc }}$ year old b6,b7C and is going strictly by what they told her. This is a third party complaint and to this date we have not heard from the $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ brothers themselves.

The canine on duty that day alerted to the presence of narcotics in the pre primary inspection area and the vehicle was sent to secondary inspection where marijuana residue was found and the two subjects admitted to smoking in the car two weeks earlier. No other contraband was found in the vehicle.

After reviewing all the facts relating to this case I find that all agents involved were justified in their actions and were within policy.

April 28, 2012

MEMORANDUM FOR: b6,b7C
Chief Patrol Agent

| Yuma Sector |
| :--- | :--- |
| b6,b7C |
| Sup, |

Supervisory BordepPatrol Agent Blythe Station

Citizen Complaint at 1151 Checkpoint

## SUBJECT:

On April 27, 2012 at approximately 2:00 p.m., b6,b7C called the Blythe Border Patrol Station to file a complaint stating she felt her two sons were harassed while passing through the 1151 Checkpoint. This checkpoint is located on California State Route 78, near mile marker 56.6.
> b6,b7C stated that her sons, ${ }^{\text {Exabc }}$ year old b6,b7C and ${ }^{\text {babrc }}$ year old b6,b7C $b 6, b 7 C$ visit her regularly in Blythe, California. She stated that they travel from $b 6, b 7 C$ California where they attend college. She further stated that each time her two sons drive through the checkpoint, they are sent to secondary inspection for no particular reason. She commented that she understands inspections need to be done to protect the country, but not every time to the same people.

She also informed me she did not appreciate the way her sons' property was being handled. She said that the agents conducting the inspection grabbed her sons' backpacks and tossed them on the ground without any regard. She stated that the backpacks had Mac Books in them that cost a few thousand dollars. I explained to $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ I would accept and file her complaint; if she had further concerns, she could come by the Blythe Border Patrol Station and speak to me directly. I also informed b6,b7C that I would provide her with form SF-95 (Claim for Damage, Injury or Death) to file a claim if the property was damaged.

At approximately $4: 30$ p.m., D6,b7C arrived at the Blythe Station. She was provided an SF95 form and she was given guidance by FOS $\mathrm{D} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ and me on how to file the form. She then stated that she turned-on the computers and they worked fine. She commented once again that she understood that inspections need to be done to protect the country, but her boys are good boys, and they go to college.

During the initial inquiry, I was informed that her two sons were in fact sent to the secondary inspection area due to a canine alert during the primary inspection. Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ in his memoranda, that as he was conducting immigration inspection in the primary lane, Agent b6,b7C (K-9) advised him to send the vehicle to secondary inspection due to a canine alert.

In the secondary inspection area, Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{K}-9$ handler Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}_{\text {began their }}$ inspection. Agent $\mathrm{D6}, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}_{\text {stated }}$ in his memoranda that as he was searching the vehicle, he observed minimal traces of marijuana "shake" on the driver side rear seat. Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ asked the driver if he could identify the green substance. The driver admitted the substance was marijuana. He further admitted that they had "hot boxed it" in that vehicle a few weeks ago. Both agents continued with their searches with negative results.

Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}_{\text {stated }}$ in his memoranda that he did not see or handle any laptop computers during the search of the vehicle. Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ stated that during the search, he noticed several bags of luggage to include a laptop carrier. He stated that he carefully placed all the bags in a line on the ground to conduct non-intrusive canine sniff.

Articles 31 b were issued to all agents involved in this incident. Memoranda were collected from Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ and Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ An SF-95 was provided to $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ and all documentation was forwarded to FOS b6,b7C

CC: Patrol Agent in Charge, Blythe Station
U.S. Customs and

## MEMORANDUM FOR: b6,b7C

# Chief Patrol Agent <br> Yuma Sector 

FROM:


SUBJECT:
Citizen Compliant at 1151 Checkpoint
On Friday, April 27, 2012, I was assigned to work at the United States Border Patrol Checkpoint located along California State Route 78 at mile marker 56.6 with my service canine b6,b7C At approximately $12: 40$ p.m. while Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ was working the primary inspection lane, a silver Ford Mustang came through the primary inspection lane at the 1151 checkpoint. As Agent b6,b7C conducted an immigration inspection on the occupants, I performed a non-intrusive canine sniff of the vehicle with my service canine ${ }^{\mathrm{b6}, \mathrm{b7C}}$ My canine alerted to the driver side door of the vehicle. I asked Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ to refer the vehicle to secondary.

At secondary Agent $\mathrm{D} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}_{\text {and }} \mathrm{I}$ thoroughly searched the vehicle. During the search I noticed several bags to include a laptop bag. I carefully placed all the bags in line on the ground to do a non-intrusive canine sniff of all the bags. This is the least intrusive way to search the bags.

My service canine did not alert on any of the bags. I went back to the vehicle to search it. I was able to see what appeared to be marijuana residue in the back seat of the vehicle. I called the owner of the vehicle and showed him what I found. I asked the owner of the car what that was and he freely admitted that it was marijuana residue. He also stated that he "hot boxed it" a week prior. The term "hot box" is utilized by marijuana users when they drive around in their vehicle smoking marijuana.

After a thorough search of the vehicle, no other contraband was found. Both subjects and the vehicle were released.

On April 28, 2012, Supervisory Border Patrol Agent ${ }^{\text {D6,b7C }}$ requested this memorandum. SBPA $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ stated that a third party had filed a citizen complaint regarding a laptop that was damaged during this encounter. I remember seeing a laptop bag, but I cannot confirm there was a laptop inside because none of the bags were opened.
$\qquad$
Border Patrol Agent: $\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b (3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting, which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and


Supervisor Supplying Notice:


April 28, 2012

## MEMORANDUM FOR:

FROM:
Chief Patrol Agent Yuma Sector


Blythe Station
SUBJECT:
Citizen Compliant
On April 27, 2012, I Border Patrol Agent D6,b7C was assigned to checkpoint duties at the 1151 immigration checkpoint on California Highway 78, at mile marker 56.6. At approximately $12: 40$ p.m., I was positioned in the primary inspection area. A silver Ford Mustang drove up and the driver and passenger presented themselves for an immigration inspection.

In the English language, I identified myself as a U.S. Border Patrol Agent and asked the driver as to his citizenship and nationality. The driver stated that he is ab6,b7C citizen and b6,b7C I then asked the passenger of the vehicle as to his citizenship and nationality. The passenger stated he is a $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ citizen and $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$

During the interview, Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ advised me to refer the vehicle and passengers to the secondary inspection area due to a canine alert. I then directed the driver to park in the secondary inspection area. After referring the vehicle to secondary, I did not have any other contact with the vehicle or occupants.

Date and Time: $\qquad$
Border Patrol Agent:


Under Article 31, Subsection b (3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting, which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and
Employee's Signature:
(ii) the employee requests representation.'

Union Representative : $\qquad$

April 28, 2012

MEMORANDUM FOR:

FROM:

## b6,b7C

Chief Patrol Agent
Yuma Sector

Border Patrol Agern
Blythe Station

## SUBJECT: <br> Citizen Complaint

On April 27, 2012, I Agent b6,b7C was assigned to the 1151 Checkpoint located on Highway 78, mile marker 56.6.

At approximately 12:40 p.m. while performing secondary inspections at the 1151 Checkpoint, I encountered two subjects in a silver Ford Mustang. The vehicle was referred to the secondary inspection area after Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ indicated that his canine alerted to the vehicle.

At the secondary inspection area I assisted Agent $b 6, b 7 C_{\text {search }}$ the vehicle. During the search I noticed on the driver side rear seat minimal traces ofmarijuana "shake". The owner of the vehicle was then called to the vehicle by Agent ${ }^{\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}}$ He was asked what the green substance was; the driver stated that "it was marijuana" and that he had "hot boxed it" in his vehicle a few weeks ago. The subject was then told to return to the seating area under the canopy. Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ and I continued to search the vehicle. A further search of the vehicle yielded negative results and both subjects were released.

On April 28, 2012, I was ordered to write this memorandum by Supervisory Border Patrol Agent
 regarding damage to a laptop during this incident. I did not see or handle any laptop while conducting the search of the vehicle.

Date and Time: $\qquad$
Border Patrol Agent: $\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b (3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting, which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and
(ii) the employee requests representation.'


Union Representative : $\qquad$

Supervisor Supplying Notice

March 20, 2013

MEMORANDUM FOR: b6,b7C


Blythe Station
SUBJECT: Civilian Vehicle Damage Claim
On March 20, 2013 at approximately 11:45 a.m., I was called by Border Patrol Agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ who said a ${ }^{\text {b6,b7C }}$ b6,b7C , was at the 1153 checkpoint and wanted to make a claim regarding alleged damage to her vehicle caused by a BP Canine during an earlier inspection at 7:45 a.m. that morning. Agent ${ }^{\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}}$ said she claims the BP Canine had put a scratch on the driver side rear door of her blue 2013 Nissan Sentra bearing b6,b7C license plate b6,b7C Agent ${ }^{[66, b 7 C}$ said there was a small hairline line in the area of the claimed damage that was barely visible.

I instructed Agent $\sqrt{\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}}$ to take pictures of the claimed damage area. I also directed him and Canine Handler $b 6, b 7 C$ to write memos regarding the incident. $b 6, b 7 C$ was given an SF-95 form to submit regarding the claim. I have attached pictures of the area of the claimed damage. The line on the door is so small as to not appear in the printed pictures. I do have the electronic versions of the pictures available.

Attachments

March 20, 2013

MEMORANDUM FOR:

## b6,b7C



Border Patrol Agent
Blythe Station

## SUBJECT:

Vehicle Damage Claim
On March 20, 2013, I was assigned to the 1153 checkpoint located on Hwy 95 and mile marker 76. At approximately 0745 hours, $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ driving a blue 2013 Nissan Sentra bearing $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ plate $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ approached the primary inspection area. I conducted an immigration inspections and $b 6, b 7 C$ stated she was ab6,b7C $\quad$ During my immigration inspection, K9 handler $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}_{\text {performed a free air sniff of the vehicle. At this time, I did not }}$ observe any damage done to the vehicle by the K-9.

At approximately 1130 hours, b6,b7C returned to the checkpoint and stated that she wanted to file a claim regarding the assigned K-9 scratching her rear driver side door in the blue Nissan Sentra. D6, b7C stated this damage occurred at approximately 0745 hours today, when she previously came through the checkpoint. I looked at the claimed damage area carefully and could only observe a very fine horizontal line. D6, b7C was given a SF95 form to complete and submit to the Blythe Station. I took pictures of the suspect area with the digital camera assigned to the checkpoint. Several pictures were taken, but no damage can be seen from looking at the pictures. The Blythe Station was notified of this incident.
$\qquad$
Border Patrol Agent: $\qquad$

Under Article 31, Subsection b (3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting, which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and


Union Representative : $\qquad$


March 20, 2013

MEMORANDUM FOR:

## b6,b7C

Chief Patrol Agent
Yuma Sector
FROM:

## b6,b7C

Border Patrol Agent


Yuma Station
Vehicle Damage Claim
SUBJECT:
On March 20, 2013 at 1130 hours. I, agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ was assigned to the 1153 USBP checkpoint. At this time $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ stopped at the checkpoint, and wanted to file a claim regarding my assigned canine ${ }^{\mathrm{b} 6, b / \mathrm{b} 7 \mathrm{C}}$ scratching the rear driver side door of her blue 2013 Nissan $^{2}$ Sentra ${ }^{56,67 C}$ plate $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ b6,b7C stated this damage occurred at approximately 0745 hours earlier the same day, when she previously came through the checkpoint. At that time I did perform a free air sniff with my canine ${ }^{\text {b6,b7C }}$ of her vehicle, and observed no damage that occurred to her during the sniff. I gave $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ a SF95 to complete and submit to the Blythe Station.
took pictures of the suspect area with the digital camera assigned to the checkpoint. Several pictures were taken, and no damage could be seen in person, or by reviewing the pictures. I mentioned this to $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ and she agreed that it is very difficult to see, and that she too took pictures but was unable to see any damage. The Blythe Station was notified of this incident.
$\qquad$ b6

Under Article 31, Subsection b (3) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the National Border Patrol Council and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, you are provided with the following information.

The Civil Service Reform Act gives employees in units represented by an exclusive labor organization the right to have a union representative present at a meeting, which involves an examination by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if the employee believes the examination may result in disciplinary action.

Section 7114(a)(2) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 states:
"(2) An exclusive representative of an appropriate unit in an agency shall be given the opportunity to be represented at--
(B) any examination of an employee in the unit by a representative of the agency in connection with an investigation if--
(i) the employee reasonably believes that the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee, and
(ii) the employee requests representation.'


Supervisor Supplying Notice:


FROM:

# b6,b7C 

Supervisory Border Parror Agent
Blythe Station

## SUBJECT:

## Citizen Complaint

On January 10, 2014, at approximately 11:00 a.m., a gentleman identifying himself as contacted the Blythe Border Patrol Station via telephone, to file a formal complaint stating that after a search of his vehicle, some of his tools were missing.
b6,b7C claims that on November 21, 2013, while traveling from Phoenix, Arizona back home to b6,b7C he was stopped at a temporary checkpoint on Interstate 10 near the California Port of Entry. $\mathrm{b6}, \mathrm{b7C}$ stated that he was sent to secondary inspection and that his vehicle (2004 white Dodge Sprinter truck) was searched there. $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ also stated that agents removed everything from inside the vehicle and placed it in a separate area.

## b6,b7C

claims that an agent asked him to sit on a bench on the side of the freeway and that from there he could not see what the agents were doing with his vehicle and property. $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}_{\text {said }}$ that after the agents concluded the search, they put the property back inside his vehicle and allowed him to continue his travel.

## b6,b7C

 stated that a few days later he went to look for a set of metric tools when he realized they were not there. ${ }^{\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{b7C}}$ claims to be missing a set of metric sockets, a wrench and extensions that were inside a white bag. I asked ${ }^{\mathrm{b6}, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}}$ why it took him this long to report the incident or to contact us. ${ }^{\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{b7C}}$ stated that as soon as he realized the tools were missing, he started to contact different agencies because he was not sure what agency was in charge of the checkpoint. b6,b7C stated that after contacting a few agencies he was told that it was a Border Patrol Checkpoint and that is when he called.A review of the dailv schedule on November 21, 2014 corroborates the fact that a temporary checkpoint b7E was set on Interstate 10. Due to b7E

Citizen Complaint
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I had called $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}_{\text {twice to }}$ to see if he could give me a specific time of the day when he drove through the checkpoint with no success. A form SF-95 was mailed to the address that $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ provided. No promises to get reimbursed were made to $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ during our conversation.

June 22, 2014

MEMORANDUM FOR:

FROM:

## b6,b7C

Acting Chief Patrol Agent
Yuma Sector
b6,b7c b6,b7C
Border Patrol Agent
Blythe Station

## SUBJECT:

1153 Checkpoint Incident
On Tuesday, June 17, 2014 I was assigned to the 1153 Blythe Border Patrol Checkpoint. At approximately 10:00 a.m., a $\mathrm{D6}, \mathrm{D7C}$ vehicle stopped at the primary inspection lane. Border Patrol Agent (BPA) b6,b7C was working the primary lane and I was positioned in the secondary lane. The driver was the sole occupant of the vehicle and as BPA $b 6, b 7 C$ was questioning him he was waving his arms around and appeared to be agitated.

Agent $b 6, b 7 C$ signaled me and I came over to her. She explained $b 7 E$
that he was not answering her questions. I approached the driver of the vehicle, later identified as $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ is an employee $\mathrm{at}^{\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}}$ and was driving a government assigned vehicle.
As I was standing next to agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ by the driver's door, agent $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ asked the driver for his country of citizenship. b6,b7C,b7E replied in a high tone of voice that he did not have to answer our questions and that he did not even have to stop or talk to agents at the checkpoint due to the fact that he was carrying classified information for a military project.
$b 6, b 7 C$ repeated this statement several times in a loud voice. b6,b7C also told us that if we had a problem with that to go ahead and call the base commander to verify the information. I requested the commander's telephone number from $b 6, b 7 C$ but he failed to provide a number.

I explained to b6,b7C as he was still very agitated that we were not asking him about his classified military project but that we onlv needed to know his country of citizenship. Finally $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ replied I am a $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ and was allowed to proceed on his way without further incident.

June 22, 2014

MEMORANDUM FOR:
b6,b7C
Acting Chief Patrol Agent


FROM:
Border Patrol Agent
Blythe Station

SUBJECT:
1153 Checkpoint Incident
On June 17, 2014, I was assigned to work the Border Patrol Checkpoint on Highway 95 near Quartzsite, Arizona. At approximately 10:00 am, while working the primary inspection lane, a b6,b7C vehicle with government plates stopped at my location. I identified myself as a Border Patrol Agent (BPA) and I asked the driver, later identified as b6,b7C several questions about his travel that day. During my questioning b6,b7C,b7E
b6,b7C,b7E I also noticed that b6,b7C had an accent. This is common for people who are citizens of a country other than the United States. D7E I continued asking him questions.

During my questioning D6,b7C asked me "Why are you asking me these questions?" $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ then told me that he did not need to answer any more of my questions and that he worked for $\square$ He stated that he did not need to move and he was done answering my questions, I signaled to the secondary agent, BPA $\mathrm{b6}, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ to come over to me. I told BPA ${ }^{\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}_{\text {t }} \text { that the }}$ driverb7E would not answer my questions.
$B P A \quad \sqrt{b 6, b 7 C}$ began explaining to $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ that he needed to answer our questions. $b 6, b 7 C$ told BPA ${ }^{\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}}$ that he did not need to answer any of our questions because he was carrying classified information. I then asked D6,b7C his country of citizenship. I asked him for his date of birth in which he stated, "I am not going to answer that." He also would not provide a driver's license when I asked him for one. BPA ${ }^{\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}}$ explained to $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ that we were not questioning him about his classified information. b6,b7C stated that he did not need to answer any of our questions and that we only needed to call the base commander to verify his information. He gave me his military ID card (ID\# b6,b7C to record his information. BPA ${ }^{\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 C_{\text {asked }} \mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}}$ for the number to call the base commander. $\mathrm{b} 6, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}$ said that he was not going to give us that information.
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1153 Checkpoint Incident
$B P A \quad b 6, b 7 C_{\text {reiterated to }} b 6, b 7 C$ that we were only trying to get his country of citizenship and that we were not inquiring about his classified information. b6,b7C finally stated that he was $a b 6, b 7 C$ citizen. $b 6, b 7 C$ was then released from the checkpoint without further incident.

I notified SBPA $66, \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{C}_{\text {of }}$ the incident at approximately 11:30 a.m.


[^0]:    Department of Homeland Security, Customs and Border Protection Sensitive But Unclassified

